

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,523	12/20/2001	Melaine Klasen-Memmer	Merck 2331	2849
23599	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			WU, SHEAN CHIU	
SUITE 1400	NDON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201		1756	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0/
Office Action Oc	10/022,523	KLASEN-MEMMER E	ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shean C Wu	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	June 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-22</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,7-11,13-15,18-20 and 22</u> is/are r	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 2-4,6,16,17 and 21 is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-15)	4)
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/022,523

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 5, 7-11, 13-15, 18-20 and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,722. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims encompass the claim of US '722 and it is obvious to optimize the components of the liquid-crystalline medium of US '722 and to apply in the present display devices.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-4, 6, 16-17 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,523

Art Unit: 1756

Response to Arguments

Page 3

4. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 6/18/04, with respect to the rejections of claims

1-11 and 13-22 under Yanai et al. (US 6,395,353) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new

ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US 6,764,722.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shean C Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shean C Wu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1756

scw